

2026-27 Academic Innovation IITG/OER Impact Grants RFP Application

State University of New York

Proposed Project Title

Please enter the name of your proposed project

Character Limit: 200

Project Rankings

Please score the following criteria, which map directly to the Request for Proposals. Use the scale below to indicate the level of evidence provided in the application. Higher scores reflect stronger alignment and quality.

You may return to a proposal and adjust scores any time before the reviewer deadline. The system will automatically tabulate cumulative scores and rank proposals for funding consideration.

- 1 - No evidence of this in the proposal
- 2 - Between no and some evidence in the proposal
- 3 - Some evidence of this in the proposal
- 4 - Between some and clear evidence of this in the proposal
- 5 - Clear evidence of this in the proposal

1. Alignment with Objectives*

To what extent does the proposed innovation meet **at least one of the stated IITG/OER objectives?**

Objectives:

- Use AI to enhance teaching and learning through affordable, open, and flexible instructional approaches.
- Advance accessibility and equity by reducing barriers for diverse learners.
- Foster collaboration and sustainability across SUNY through innovative instructional models.

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

2. Alignment with SUNY Chancellor's Strategic Priorities*

Does the project align with **one or more SUNY Chancellor's Strategic Priorities** (student success, research and scholarship, diversity and inclusion, economic development and upward mobility)?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

3. Teaching & Learning Impact*

To what extent does the proposed project **demonstrate meaningful potential to improve teaching and learning**, for example through strengthening pedagogy, enhancing instructional practices, or advancing equitable, accessible, and innovative learning experiences?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

4. Outcome Objectives*

Are the learning **objectives / project outcomes** achievable, relevant, and effective?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

5. Project Timeline*

Is the described work plan **realistic and achievable** within the proposed timeframe?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

6. Return on Effort Invested*

How effectively does the proposal **articulate the anticipated return on investment**: demonstrating meaningful benefits for student learning, broader campus or SUNY-wide impact, scalability or sustainability, and advancement of equity, accessibility, or innovation?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

7. Potential for Transformative Impact*

Does this innovation have **potential for transformative impact** at the campus level or across the SUNY system if scaled up after a successful outcome?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

8. Budget Narrative*

Is the budget narrative **clear, aligned with the project goals, and supported** by a strong rationale for each expenditure?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

9. Assessment Narrative*

Is it clear **how success will be measured and assessed** within the project timeline?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

10. Endorsement and Support*

Is there evidence of **strong campus and partner support**, including leadership endorsement and resource commitments?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Optional comments can be helpful to the IIRC, OER Services, and Office of the Provost staff, and may be shared anonymously with applicants. If you have no comments, please indicate that.

Overall Impressions of the Proposed Project*

Provide your overall impression of the proposal. Consider outcomes, budget, timeline, and assessment plan. Did the applicant make a compelling case for funding? What could strengthen the proposal further?

Character Limit: 750

Additional Comments & Feedback

Optional space for further suggestions. If the proposal was not scored favorably, please indicate how it could be improved for future consideration.

Character Limit: 750