

# 2025-26 Comprehensive Academic Innovation IITG/OER Impact Grants RFP Part II Application

---

*State University of New York*

## Proposed Project Title

Please enter the name of your proposed project

*Character Limit: 200*

## Project Rankings

---

Please score the following criteria mapped directly from the Call for Proposals. The higher the number, the higher the ranking. The likert scale will automatically tabulate a cumulative score that will be placed in rank order for funding consideration. You may return to a proposal and adjust a score any time before the close of the reviewer deadline. Use the following guide to choose your score for each item.

- 1 - No evidence of this in the proposal
- 2 - Between no and some evidence in the proposal
- 3 - Some evidence of this in the proposal
- 4 - Between some and clear evidence of this in the proposal
- 5 - Clear evidence of this in the proposal

## Proposed Innovation\*

To what extent does the proposed innovation meet at least **one IITG/OER objective** as outlined in the RFP?

Objectives:

- Enhance teaching and learning by providing affordable, open, innovative, and flexible education in a full range of instructional formats
- Develop instructional talent by creating and supporting communities of practice across disciplines and institutions
- Support, monitor and embrace research on pedagogical practices to continually improve the instructional practices of SUNY faculty
- Extend teaching and learning environments to provide new avenues for development and delivery of collaborative content, courses, and programs in New York and across the globe

**Scoring Options:** 1 - 5

### Teaching & Learning Impact\*

Is this a **novel approach** with a good use of technology in service of pedagogy?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

### Potential for Transformative Impact\*

Does this innovation have **potential for transformative impact** at a campus or across the SUNY system if scaled up after a successful outcome?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

### Outcome Objectives\*

Are the **learning objectives / project outcomes** achievable, relevant, and effective?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

### Alignment with SUNY Strategic Objectives\*

Does the project align with one or more **SUNY Strategic Objectives** (student success, research and scholarship, diversity and inclusion, economic development and upward mobility)?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

### Budget Narrative\*

Is the budget narrative clearly written with a well-supported **expenditure rationale**?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

### Evidence of Collaboration\*

Does the project demonstrate **collaboration across disciplines or campuses**?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

### Project Timeline\*

Does the amount of work described in the project and budget narrative **seem achievable** in the time frame described?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

### Endorsement and Support\*

Is there evidence that this project has been **well-vetted** with local and partner campus collaborators with regard to any potential resource concerns?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

### Assessment Narrative\*

Is it clear **how success will be achieved and measured** within the project timeline?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Comments are critical both to the applicant, and to the Office of the Provost staff who will be guided in large part by the peer reviews. Please be sure to read through all the questions - if you have no comment, please indicate that in the text. Your comments and scores will be shared (anonymously) with the applicants. It is important that you share frankly (but kindly) how this proposal did (or did not) respond effectively to the RFP, and specifically where improvement might be realized for future consideration.

### Overall Impressions of the Proposed Project\*

What was your overall impression of the project. Please consider **learning outcomes/objectives, budget narrative, timeline, and assessment plan** ? Did the applicant "make their case" for why this idea should be funded? Why or why not? What might have made it stronger, or conversely, if already a strong proposal - do you have additional suggestions? Be sure to add useful context to help the applicant understand your review.

*Character Limit: 2500*

### Additional Comments & Feedback

Applicants welcome additional comments - Please take this opportunity to provide any additional suggestions or feedback. If this innovation was not scored favorably, please indicate how it can be made stronger in the future.

*Character Limit: 2500*