2024-25 Comprehensive Academic Innovation (IITG & OER) RFP Part II Application

State University of New York

Proposed Project Title

Please enter the name of your proposed project

Character Limit: 200

Project Rankings

Please score the following criteria mapped directly from the Call for Proposals. The higher the number, the higher the ranking. The likert scale will automatically tabulate a cumulative score that will be placed in rank order for funding consideration. You may return to a proposal and adjust a score any time before the close of the reviewer deadline. Use the following guide to choose your score for each item.

1 - No evidence of this in the proposal
2 - Between no and some evidence in the proposal
3 - Some evidence of this in the proposal
4 - Between some and clear evidence of this in the proposal
5 - Clear evidence of this in the proposal

Proposed Innovation*

To what extent does the proposed innovation meet at least **one** definition of innovation as outlined in the RFP?

- Basic innovation - smaller, low-stakes projects that seek to test ideas in single courses or programs
- Sustaining innovation - well-defined but somewhat new educational approaches that may merit widespread adoption
- Breakthrough innovation - projects that seek new solutions to well understood and pervasive educational challenges
- Disruptive innovation - projects that seek to employ creative solutions to the most intractable and hard to address educational challenges.

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Teaching & Learning Impact*

Is this a **novel approach** with a good use of technology in service of pedagogy?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Potential for Transformative Impact*

Does this innovation have **potential for transformative impact** at a campus or SUNY sector if scaled up after a successful outcome?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Innovation Narrative*

Is the proposal **narrative** clear and easy to understand?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Value Impact*

Does the proposal narrative **describe the impact/value** the innovation, practice or method will have?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Outcome Objectives*

Are the **learning objectives / project outcomes** succinct and clear?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Strategic Alignment - Four Pillars*

Are they the learning objectives / project outcomes well aligned to the **Chancellors Strategic Plan** (Student Success, Research/Scholarship, DEI, Upward Mobility)?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Strategic Alignment: OER or AI*

To what extent will the innovation **utilize AI and/or OER to scale-up** outcomes?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Budget Narrative*

Is the budget narrative clearly written with a well-supported **expenditure rationale**?
Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Project Timeline*

Does the amount of work described in the project and budget narrative **seem achievable** in the time frame described?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Endorsement and Support*

Is there evidence that this project has been **well-vetted** with local and partner campus collaborators with regard to any potential resource concerns?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Assessment Narrative*

Is it clear **how success will be achieved and measured** within the project timeline?

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Comments are critical both to the applicant, and to the Office of the Provost staff who will be guided in large part by the peer reviews. Please be sure to read through all the questions - if you have no comment, please indicate that in the text. Your comments and scores will be shared (anonymously) with the applicants. It is important that you share frankly (but kindly) how this proposal did (or did not) respond effectively to the RFP, and specifically where improvement might be realized for future consideration.

Quality of the Innovation and/or Budget Narratives*

What was your overall impression of the **project** and **budget narratives**? Did the applicant "make their case" for why this idea should be funded? Why or why not? What might have made it stronger, or conversely, if already a strong proposal - do you have additional suggestions? Be sure to add useful context to help the applicant understand your review.

Character Limit: 2500

Comments on the Project Assessment Plans*

What is your overall impression of the **assessment plans**? Do you have any concerns for how this project will be measured to meet the stated objectives? Has the applicant made a good case that if funded, the outcomes will be generously shared beyond the minimum requirements of the grant?

Character Limit: 2500

Additional Comments & Feedback

Applicants welcome additional comments - Please take this opportunity to provide any additional suggestions or feedback. If this innovation was not scored favorably, please indicate how it can be made stronger in the future.

Character Limit: 2500